

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1 450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

Paper No. 9

KENNETH E. JOHNSON 8301- 11TH AVE S BLOOMINGTON MN 55420

**COPY MAILED** 

MAR 2 6 2004

In re Application of
Kenneth E. Johnson

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS** 

Application No. 10/086,224

ON PETITION

Filed: 23 February, 2002

For: PORTABLE BOW PRESS FOR

COMPOUND BOWS WITH EITHER TWO PIECE

LIMBS OR ONE PIECE LIMBS

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed on 15 March, 2004, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned on 21 May, 2002, for failure to timely file a substitute specification as required by the Notice to File Missing Parts of Nonprovisional Application mailed on 30 March, 2002, which set a two (2) month shortened period for reply. Notice of Abandonment was mailed on 4 December, 2003. The petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a) filed on 18 December, 2003, was dismissed on 13 January, 2004.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A <u>grantable</u> petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) <u>must</u> be accompanied by:

<sup>(1)</sup> the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In a nonprovisional application filed on or after June 8, 1995, and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filing of a request for continued examination in compliance with § 1.114. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof. In an application abandoned for failure to pay the publication fee, the required reply must include payment of the publication fee.

<sup>(2)</sup> the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

<sup>(3)</sup> a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may required additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

<sup>(4)</sup> any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).

Receipt of the declaration, substitute specification, and late-filing surcharge filed on 18 December, 2003, is acknowledged. Receipt of the declaration filed with the present petition is also acknowledged.

The application is being forwarded to the Office of Initial Patent Examination for further processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (703)308-6918.

Douglas I. Wood

Senior Petitions Attorney

Office of Petitions